

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.asylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,090	03/01/2001	Yoav Eichen	RCP-PT009	1528
3634_PC 75990 089182011 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. UNITED PLAZA 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA. PA 19103			EXAMINER	
			BERTAGNA, ANGELA MARIE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111111111111111111111111111111111111111			1637	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

eoffice@volpe-koenig.com

Application No. Applicant(s) FIGHEN ET AL. 09/674.090 Notice of Abandonment Fxaminer Art Unit Angela M. Bertagna 1637 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 03 February 2011. (a) A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ____ __), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on ___ (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on 03 August 2011 but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) \(\sum \) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$____. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. 🗌 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. M The reason(s) below: see below /Young J Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

12 Peters and Teachwidthe

The response filed on 8/3/11 consists of an amendment to the specification and an extension of time and does not respond to the objections and rejections made in the non-final office action mailed on 2/3/11. A telephone call made to Applicant's representative, Douglas Bucklin, on August 10, 2011, confirmed that only a specification amendment and extension of time were filed on 8/3/11.